

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/844,924	SKINNER, CRAIG S.	
	Examiner CARL COLIN	Art Unit 2136	

All Participants:

(1) CARL COLIN.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Howard Grossman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 Rejection

Claims discussed:

36-51

Prior art documents discussed:

5,933,497 Beetcher et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's Representative, Howard Grossman, clarified the distinction between the prior art and the amended claims as filed on 4/16/2008. Examiner proposed to further amend the claims to place the application in a better condition for allowance. Authorization was given to Examiner by Howard Grossman to amend the claims by Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Carl Colin/
Examiner, Art Unit 2136

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

